UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/662,145 | 09/15/2003 | His Majesty King Bhumibol Adulyadej | Royal 001-2003.usa | 8737 |
| | 7590 08/05/200 His Majesty's Principal | EXAMINER | | |
| Private Secretar | | HOGAN, JAMES SEAN | | |
| BANGKOK, 10 THAILAND | 0200 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3752 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/05/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/662,145 | BHUMIBOL ADULYADEJ, I MAJESTY KING | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JAMES S. HOGAN | 3752 | | |

| | JAMES S. HOGAN | 3752 | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | I ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abai it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request | | |
| a) $\stackrel{\cdot}{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original. | of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | " 07.0FP 44.07 | 6 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 6.11 | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | ocause ocause | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO` w); | TE below); | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying t | he issues for | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,11,13,16-18 and 20</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | thefere or an the date of filling a Ni | otion of Appendix ill mad | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| /Len Tran/ | /J. S. H./ | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752 | Examiner, Art Unit 3752 | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new amendment would require further search and consideration

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Claims 1, 11 and 13 under 35 USC § 112 as per arguments cited as to how the techniques claimed include dispensing claimed chemicals from a desired location have been identified within the specification. Art rejections on said claims shall remain.